Wealden District ~~Council's~~ (~~-~~Incorporating part of the South Downs National Park)~~submitted~~

Wealden District Council

Working in Partnership

South Downs National Park Authority

This response relates to the p~~p~~roposed ~~Inspectors m~~modification	
MM	**WS**
(Please add numeric reference e.g. MM22 or Wealden District Council/ South Downs National Park Authority modification WS e.g. WS 23)	

Declaration:	I consider the proposed modification will assist the submitted Core Strategy to be found sound ☐ /unsound ☒ (*place a cross in the box to show which option you have chosen) for the following reasons:

Reasons Given:	The SWET study is inaccurate. It does not take into account the traffic movements for the whole of the Wealden District. It only takes into account the traffic movements in the local area, it has not taken into account the possibility of traffic from and to the North of the District. The proposal to ease the surrounding junctions and the roundabout is a good suggestion on paper, however, the reality is that a bottleneck along the A22 and A27 will still exist an therefore the present and future congestion will get worse. In relation to SD4 at page 45 of the Core Strategy Document and page 6 of the Proposed Modifications to the Core Strategy Development Plan Document, at no point has the Inspector made a recommendation for the maximum number of houses to be 'around'. The avoid future ambiguity, the maximum number of houses should be clearly stated as a maximum number of houses. Taken into context with the NPPF it does not clarify what the exact needs are leading to a presumption for further development. This exact issue was called in on 29 March 2012 before the Community Scrutiny Committee and it was maintained by that committee that the reason for not giving an exact figure was to ensure that a degree of flexibility was maintained as the Inspector could state that the Core Strategy was unsound if the flexibility was removed. There should also be provisions to clarify the need in provisions for secondary and primary education.

If this is a new matter that you have raised please complete the following

I wish ☒ / do not wish ☐ (*place a cross in the box to show which option you have chosen) for this matter to be heard in front of the Inspector

Signed:	Daniel Shing

Name and Address:	Daniel Shing
E-Mail Address:	
If on behalf of a company, please	

state:	
Date:	Monday 11 June 2012

Please place a cross in the box to show which option you have chosen	
I would wish to be notified of the publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Core Strategy	Yes ☒ No ☐
I would wish to be notified of the adoption of the Core Strategy	Yes ☒ No ☐

Note: If completing this in hardcopy form, please use additional sheets if necessary.

Please return this form by **5pm on Wednesday 23rd May 2012**:

By post to: Head of Planning and Environmental Policy, Wealden District Council, Freepost SEA 10959, Crowborough, East Sussex, TN6 1BR

By email to: ldf@wealden.gov.uk

Data Protection Act 1998 and Freedom of Information Act 2000

Representations cannot be treated in confidence. Regulation 30 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004, as amended, requires copies of all representations to be made publicly available. The Council will also provide names and associated representations on its website but will not publish personal information such as telephone numbers, e-mails or private addresses. By submitting a representation on the Proposed Modifications you confirm that you agree to this and accept responsibility for your comments.

Please telephone 01892 602007 or e-mail ldf@wealden.gov.uk if you require assistance in submitting your representation or if further information is required. If you, or somebody you know, would like the information (guidance notes, forms etc) in large print, braille, audio tape/CD or in another language please let us know.