MR+MRS G.V.ALLEN.

REF: SDA 10

DEAR MRS BENTON.

WE WRITE TO ASK YOU IF YOU COULD LET US KNOW MR MOORE'S REASONS FOR REJECTING THE CONTINGENCY SITE ON ERIDGE RD CROWBOROUGH FOR THE BUILDING OF ANY NEW HOMES NEEDED. AS IN OUR VIEW AND MANY PEOPLE WE HAVE SPOKEN TO WE REGARD THIS SITE AS THE MOST APPROPRIATE OF ALL THE CROWBOROUGH SITES PUT FORWARD, AS WE SAID IN OUR PREVIOUS LETTER IT HAS GOOD ACCESS TO THE MAIN A26, IS WITHIN WALKING DISTANCE OF THE TOWN CENTRE AND IS ON THE MAIN BUS ROUTES AND THIS IS WHY WE FEEL WE NEED TO KNOW MR MOORES REASONING BEHIND HIS DECISION TO ~~RESELECT~~ SITE.

WEALDEN DISTRICT COUNCIL
15 MAY 2012
ACTION
BY
PLANS BUREAU

YOURS SINCERELY.

G. ALLEN

V. ALLEN

YOUR REF: DP/LDF/0400 23 MAY 2012.

MR+MRS G.P.W. ALLEN

DEAR MRS BENTON.

WE WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY FOR YOU TO USE OUR LETTER AS PROPOSED, BUT WOULD ALSO, NOW WE KNOW MR MOORES REASON FOR REJECTING THE CONTINGENCY SITE, LIKE TO ADD ONE MORE FURTHER COMMENT, WHICH IS THAT AS YOU WILL BE AWARE WE LIVE IN THE CENTRE OF THE PROPOSED SD10 SITE, WHEN WE BOUGHT THIS PROPERTY 24 YEARS AGO WE WERE TOLD WE WERE IN THE A.O.N.B AND WE ALSO HAVE A LETTER FROM WEALDEN DISTRICT COUNCIL DATED MARCH 2005 WHICH STATES WE ARE WELL OUTSIDE THE DEVELOPMENT BOUNDARY FOR CROWBOROUGH, WE HAVE NEVER HEARD OF ANY CONSULTATION ON CHANGING THIS BOUNDARY, THEREFORE OUR POINT IS THAT IF THIS CAN BE CHANGED IN FAVOUR OF DEVELOPMENT FOR SD10 THEN SURELY THE SAME CRITERIA MUST EXIST FOR

P.T.O

THE CONTINGENCY SITE ON THE A26, WHICH WE HAVE STATED BEFORE, AS HAVE MANY OTHER PEOPLE, INCLUDING COUNCILLORS, IS MUCH CLOSER TO THE TOWN CENTRE THAN SD10.

ALSO IF THE CONTINGENCY SITE IS WITHIN THE A.O.N.B HOW ON EARTH DID WEALDEN GET PLANNING PERMISSION FOR AN ENORMOUS BLUE BUILDING NAMELY THE LEISURE CENTRE, VERY STRANGE!

YOUR SINCERELY

G. ALLEN V. ALLEN