
PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO CORE STRATEGY.

I would like to add an additional item to this, regarding infrastructure and transport,

To say in the event we are going to have these awful houses built in south Wealden around Polegate Willingdon Stone Cross and Hailsham there should be some consideration to building a new tramway from Eastbourne to Uckfield; it would be a considerable sum to add to the houses but in any event there will be a cost in delivering or upgrading roads, it's all in the plan for consideration.

Laurence Keeley.

12/6/12



104437

KEELEY, L

Wealden District (Incorporating part of the South Downs National Park) Representation on the Proposed Modifications to the Core Strategy

Wealden District Council

Working in Partnership

South Downs National Park Authority

This response relates to the proposed modification	
MM	**WS**
(Please add numeric reference e.g. MM22 or Wealden District Council/ South Downs National Park Authority modification WS e.g. WS 23)	

Declaration:	I consider the proposed modification will assist the submitted Core Strategy to be found ~~sound~~/unsound (*delete as applicable) for the following reasons:
Reasons Given:	see enclosed letter

46638
08 JUN 2012
ACTION BY DP GA
PLANNING AND BUILDING CONTROL

If this is a new matter that you have raised please complete the following
I wish/ ~~do not wish~~ (*delete as applicable) for this matter to be heard in front of the Inspector

Signed:	

Name and Address:	
E-Mail Address:	
If on behalf of a company, please state:	
Date:	6.6.12

Please delete as appropriate	
I would wish to be notified of the publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Core Strategy	Yes /~~No~~
I would wish to be notified of the adoption of the Core Strategy	Yes /~~No~~

Note: If completing this in hardcopy form, please use additional sheets if necessary.

Please return this form by **5pm on Wednesday ~~23rd~~ 13 June May 2012**:

By post to: Head of Planning and Environmental Policy, Wealden District Council, Freepost SEA 10959, Crowborough, East Sussex, TN6 1BR

By email to: ldf@wealden.gov.uk

Data Protection Act 1998 and Freedom of Information Act 2000

Representations cannot be treated in confidence. Regulation 30 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004, as amended, requires copies of all representations to be made publicly available. The Council will also provide names and associated representations on its website but will not publish personal information such as telephone numbers, e-mails or private addresses. By submitting a representation on the Proposed Modifications you confirm that you agree to this and accept responsibility for your comments.

Please telephone 01892 602007 or e-mail ldf@wealden.gov.uk if you require assistance in submitting your representation or if further information is required. If you, or somebody you know, would like the information (guidance notes, forms etc) in large print, braille, audio tape/CD or in another language please let us know.

Proposed modifications to the core strategy.

I attended the Eastbourne examination on the 16th May, I mentioned the lack of space for more houses and the council confirmed there are 3,000 on the waiting list, I think the whole thing is madness, the council said they hope to build homes in South Wealden, but then only have 30% affordable, the **3,000 on the waiting list are unaccountable both in Eastbourne and Wealden**, at the same time allowing more people to buy on an open market policy to move in, creating more problems for future generations, you may well ask why am I mentioning Eastbourne ,well the inspector said she was only looking at the Eastbourne strategy, I said what about the fact that **Wealden, Rother, Lewis and Hastings were all doing the same with building thousands more homes**, While we now struggle with infrastructure, I also mentioned that **at the Wealden examination I said about the 700 children in Eastbourne that cant fine places in the town so go to south Wealden**, where will they put even more children, but do Wealden know about the proposals to take the Eastbourne overspill? Polegate and Willingdon plus Stone Cross will not cope with the plan that Wealden have for them without taking any more from Eastbourne, we need a south coast plan, or joined up policy, with reference to WS31 it states, 'where it can be proven that affordable housing cannot be achieved, due to economic viability, we are required to be flexible in terms of meeting stated targets.' There should not be the option for a developer to opt out. I can give evidence that developers make up figures to prove the unviability of affordable housing and industrial units. **Neither the Wealden plan nor the inspector's modifications do anything to address any of these issues.**

Figure 8.2 SDA 4: South Polegate/East Willingdon Land Availability Plan. I would like to point out that this proposed development area should not be covered in houses and roads and the green space between Polegate and Willingdon maintained. We are reminded there is a lack of outdoor sporting activities in both South Wealden and Eastbourne and we are aware this land was previously owned by Eastbourne college and used for a number of activities e.g. Running tracks, hockey pitches, tennis courts and other general sporting events. This should be included in any future development, my e4nclosed designs could be used on this site.

I have been told of a nine year old boy who wanted to die because he found life too difficult, he was put into nursery school then primary school, has child minders ect and doesn't see his parents often as they both work because of the mortgage, and all the government and councils think about is building open market houses has if it is the only thing that matters.

I also spoke to a man who had visited Hellingly church to find a lady weeping, on inviting himself to chat to her, she said she had moved into the new development at the old hospital site and found the estate so depressing she went to the church for a quiet time to think about things, she may of prayed I don't know, but many people are in a state of mind (depression ect.) that the councils don't seem to understand, **a survey found that 1 000 children in East Sussex alone seeking medical attention for depression.** As people we need 2 things, the company of other people but also our own space; on new estates we are overcrowded yet isolated, this is often the cause of depression and can be the start of dementia. It has been proven that if you look out of a window to another building you suffer more depression than if you look into open space the new estates also lack sporting and leisure facilities, there is a need for both mental and physical fitness which is addressed in the designs enclosed. Houses should be affordable on one income; I am not suggesting mothers should not work but that they should not have to. Under these designs child minding facilities could be established as well as the improvement of older people's care, the young people would have ample opportunities to take part in general activities whilst in a safe and secure environment. Those who bought houses in the 60's could sell and buy houses within a system but now young people haven't a chance of getting to that level, why should they have to borrow large sums of money and spend the rest of their lives repaying the debt? We need a new system. The core strategy and the modifications is more of the same which do not address the real issues; we have just come through a jubilee celebration, you cannot miss the unity of the people, this atmosphere should be ongoing but all we hear about is growth, we return to debt and poverty and we achieve nothing. 4 out of 10 new houses will be occupied by immigrants; maybe we should go to the government. **If we controlled the borders, the Wealden's development plans would be at least 40% less than they are now.**

We come now to the areas where the proposed modifications talk of industrial development. The affordability is now in question as planning permission will be sought by companies building in the main industrial units (sheds), to do a simple sum these buildings cost on average £20 000 to build if they were let out at £20 a week the money would be paid back after 20 years. The land and buildings could be controlled by the council or by the land community trust scheme. Older people's care could also come under a similar arrangement, **again the core strategy ignores the idea of addressing care in the future** and one thing is guaranteed, all the people born here or moving in will get old. One might say, how can this be paid for? I believe we are spending over £20 million a week on housing benefit and similar amounts on care, the new way of housing (as above) would save that amount of money and therefore would soon become self-financing. I will also include my latest land community trust document.

Let's look at the finances of the United States, Spain and Ireland; their problems have been caused from the rush to build houses, as if it is the answer to everything. The Spanish bank, Bankia, have openly admitted this is the problem, **it would appear that our country is going down the same road as theirs.** Building open market housing does not promote growth but creates debt and despair. Maybe we should consider stopping open market housing and so called 'affordable' housing and have housing for all. In **all the areas listed in the examination: SD1, SD2, SD3, SD4, SD5, SD6, SD7, SD8, and SD9.** We should not be covering this agricultural land with roads and houses and we should not be allowing developers to build what they want, to make money from. We, the people, through the new Localism Act, should put in the application to build what is required, this would include: annexes for older people to be looked after by family members, special unit for single mothers to have a congregation in a community setting, houses would be built for around £90 000 and would not be sold on to the so-called 'ladder', the ownership could only pass back to a housing trust and the homes be occupied by all walks of life and varied income. **We should not grade or value people. I enclose some designs for your consideration.**

The proposed modifications to the core strategy will not solve any of the aforementioned problems and **why can't there be a referendum on the twenty year plan**, people of Wealden and Eastbourne in the main who are in need of an affordable home don't even know about the strategy plan, there may of been a public consultation but it is them that need direct contact, it doesn't happen, as I said in a previous correspondence is the inspectors decisions the final one, or **can there be more talk if issues are not put in order?** Again the New Localism Act comes to mind, how does one get the people to vote on the plans that some of us feel are not the answer, and how do we stop developers building what they want and not what the people wish to have?

Laurence Keeley.

6/6/12.

At present there are nearly2 3 million people unemployed in the U.K.
Debt, depression and crime are a few of the many problems in our broken
Society, yet governments and councils still insist on building market houses
As the only way forward. I believe that getting 'housing building' right by
Reforming our present system will help in resolving many of the problems __

In the early sixties we recall being told that with all the technology coming on
stream we would have more time to enjoy life. Instead we are a nation of
mortgage slaves. Working hours are long and many unemployed __

The main problem is caused by the market. When the higher rate becomes
the going rate regarding property prices and rents, tax payers payout billions
more money into the investor's pockets. Rents are artificially propped up by
housing benefits. Businesses find that to rent or buy property is too high to
start up new ventures , "_
_Land Community Trust,

What we need is a new way to house ourselves and not renting or buying on
the open market , Land should not go from £5,000 per acre to £500,000 per
acre because someone nods for planning permission. We should introduce a
new system whereby we Create a Land Community Trust Local people
would be invited to select an area that could be used for housing. The owner
would be offered £1,000 per acre annual rent for the land,(about 15 times the agriculture
rent). or £50,000 for a 50 year lease paid in advance.
If they refuse then a compulsory purchase order would apply.-


Developers would be invited to build the houses.' Which would be built and
sold at cost. At today's prices that would be about £90,000.
. The purchaser would also pay a ground rent, and not be able to sell the property on, only
Back to the trust. They would pay the building cost at the time plus any
Improvements __
Houses should be built with a steel frame, using timber cladding and sheep's
Wool for insulation and sound proofing. Thus planting trees to help the
Environment. This would also save the fish breeding beds that are being
Destroyed from the dredging for shingle to build the houses __

Industrial work units and care homes would come under the same plan, allowing
Business people to earn a living from their effort, and not compete with the
Market and care would be affordable to all. __


This system would allow people to save for their pension, as housing would cost
Less once the house is paid for a family pension plan could come in to operation. This would
not start until you are about 40. Working time could be reduced. Homes should be affordable
on the income of one person and mothers would not be forced to work in order to cover. The
mortgage or rent. We then might find the next generation will be better Citizen's __


With proper planning we could have a more flexible retirement age and would
Not have to work until we are aged 70, with the possibility of having a 4 day week for the
over 55's and a 3 day week for the over 60's "__

The work load would be spread, bringing the high unemployment figure down
And we should all have a better lifestyle _




The top design could be used for older people's living facilities and include community garden etc. The lower design could have a green garden on each tier and could house a number of families under one roof. They would include a library, café, place of worship, crèche, sports facilities etc. Three fifths of the land would be open space.





These designs could have underground storage tanks to recycle roof water and have earth pumps to collect and save energy.





Dear council,

Just to ask the situation regarding the Core Strategy and Modifications, there are a number of issues I raised with the inspector that have not had any response, we now have his modifications, the fact is those in need of a home already living in Wealden in the main don't know anything about this Strategy document, I am unable to notify them has the housing waiting list is confidential , it is not just me that believes that if we build all the houses in the districts of East Sussex that are proposed we just add to our problems without solving any. One of the headlines of the Telegraph 12th May read Spanish village will be in debt for the next 7,000 years, because they invested in open market housing. And we want to do the same.,

I quote the headlines I gave to the inspector to remind him of the points that are still not addressed.

Headlines for housing,

- 4 million think they will never afford a house
- Nation of young mortgage slaves
- Home owners are risking their health toiling to pay mortgage
- 500,000 can't pay mortgage bills
- Cheap home crisis

Headlines regarding care;

- £200m bill for 'bed blocking' pensioners left stuck in hospital
- Scandal of the 1million British pensioners left to suffer alone
- Toll of bankrupt OAP's double as debt crisis grows
- Generation driven to drink to help fill the lonely days of retirement
- 10,000 OAPs trapped in unfit care homes
- Experts fears over care home funding.

To add to that every 59 seconds in a UK working day, 1 person will be declared insolvent or bankrupt. One third of marriages fail within 15 years. Financial stress seems to be the main reason for relationships falling apart. Often debt is through the mortgage or rent is beyond their means.

The Core Strategy even with the modifications will be more of the same,

The proposals under the land community trust module would solve many of these problems. May i invite your council to consider these proposals?

Or more to the point, bring in the new localism act, allow a referendum on the whole plan, that will get the people out of the woodwork,

May I ask you what is now the situation regarding the comments that may come in by the 13th June, will Mr Moore just say yes or no, and the Wealden 20 year plan goes ahead, or do we (the people)have a second bite at points we feel have been missed?

If I were to call for a referendum will it count, or would i need to get out an injunction on the whole thing, not that I will, but it depends on the next stage.

I enclose the Matters 12 that may seem radical but that is what we need.

A gentleman walked into Hellingly church about three weeks ago, found a lady weeping, on inviting some comfort to her she said I fined living on those new estates so depressing I can't cope.

I see nothing new in the modifications?

Yours sincerely

Laurence Keeley

15/4/12

Matter 12 /Laurence Keeley

Affordable Housing CAMPAIGN-FOR-CHANGE.

I would like to bring your attention to the new localism which received royal assent on the 15th November and the community right to build order. Which talks of creating land community trust, may i refer you to my website www.campign-for-change.co.uk which explains my vision for affordable housing. With the new housing proposals i presume we would not be building on grade 1 or 2 land. If we took an area of grade 3 land and did an agricultural sum a tenant farmer could be paying between £40-£60 acre rent. The land owner receiving this amount, In the event he was able to grow wheat he may produce 3 tons per acre, as of the 8th of December 2011 the price of wheat was ex farm was £145 per ton that would bring in £435 per acre. It would be extremely unlikely that they would have £200 profit, so why if someone nods for planning permission the land would reap half a million pounds, why should one get more for growing houses?


My suggestion is every village and town should look at their housing need, select some sites to build houses, there is no need for development boundaries, offer the land owner £1000 per acre. Create a land community trust that would build the houses with the opportunity for the people to purchase them at cost estimated to be between £80-£90,000 this would also include £100 per year ground rent, the restriction would be that the purchaser could only sell them back to the trust, they intern would pay the building cost. In the event the land owner would not accept this rental sum a compulsory order would be put on to the land.

In the case of Wealden council it has been stated that they will need 812 affordable houses per year. Whilst they have only establish they will be building 400 per year in total, with only 30% affordable, this will mean the large majority of those in need of a house would not be able to get one and with the remaining open market houses will bring more people into the area creating more problems for local services. Therefore there is no need to build any more houses for open market, anyone needing an opening market house can buy one anywhere in the district as there are loads for sale.


In areas such as Uckfield, Hailsham/Hellingly, Polegate/Willingdon /Stones Cross, Crowborough and Heathfield the new right to build policy should apply where the local people will decide on where and what should be built, the developers would then be invited to tender for the job

In order for council's to build these new houses they should be to allowed to keep their rents they receive and instead of giving capital to housing association's that is used to purchase houses from developers the capital could be used over and over again if given to the councils or Land Community trust as mentioned earlier.

Laurence Keeley, www.campaign-for-change.co.uk



The top design could be used for older people's living facilities and include community garden etc. The lower design could have a green garden on each tier and could house a number of families under one roof. They would include a library, café, place of worship, crèche, sports facilities etc. Three fifths of the land would be open space.



Dear Mr Philips,

Sorry about any confusion, you will now have two document's from me, the second with some spellings mistakes corrected, I hope, with a copy of Matters 12, this has not been addressed has far has I can see, We now have the care cost on the national agenda, there is nothing in the strategy on that, yet I did send this in to the inspector in a written document.

Has for your letter today dated 8-5-12, don't get me wrong I am not suggesting we have a secret meeting to trick any one, I was simply asking for some input to get this 20 year plan suspended ,has it will create problems. I trust you will have another look at this, can we (the people) readdress this examination document, most people don't even know about it, especially those in real need of a house, They should be able to have a vote on it, now I ask you how do we get the new localism act activated to invite local people to get involved in this building for the future.


You better show this to Trevor Scott.

Your

Sincerely

L.V.Keeley.

9/5/12



Dear Mr Philips,

It appears the document i put in the Hailsham office last week never arrived at your desk? I then sent an email saying we ought to have a referendum on the twenty year plan, above the examination report, it then come to light you never receive the first document.

I now send the papers you should of had, plus asking about how we get a referendum arranged, can we get the response date put back from the now June time.

Yours

Sincerely

L.V.Keeley.

8/5/12



DearPlilips,

Please fined enclosed a copy of papers I have sent to some local councils, Wealden has you will know are more advanced than some other councils.

I have seen some of the Report from Mr Moore, has a layman without any professional angle fine it hard to follow, has you well know I am concern with a number of issues, I have spoken to several people and they share my concerns regarding the lack of schools, infrastructure ect, while the infrastructure has been mentioned there is no guarantee it will happen, I have been told this week of large a development in Chichester road, Arun, the developers will build 12,000 homes over the period, now say they can't build the roads around the development's, yet still go on building houses, this need a national inquiry.

On the Wealden website it says if we don't except the inspectors report we will have a developers free for all, who the hell do they think they are?? Now we have the localism Act, we the people should decide where and what is built. We may only be able to comment on the report, but I am sure we can do this and bring in the problems it will create to the public attention. Can we mention the missing parts?

However I would like to talk to you about a way we can put a stop to the plan has it is, no one need know you and myself had had a chat, I would like something similar that i enclose , then have some meetings in the areas that are down for large developments.

I didn't say at the Examination, but I do have a report that 4 out of every 10 new homes will be for immigrant's, in Chichester they tell me most on the local workers on the farms are Polish sending their money back to Poland, no doubt they will build the houses for themselves, then live in them is the good in the long run?

So where do we go from here, you know the problems, let's get some answers, hopefully get the people to support a campaign to get behind the localism act, kick the developer where it hurts, are you game for the challenge? We have to go quick it get it all done by the 23 May.

Yours

Sincerely

L.V.Keeley.



Laurence Keeley,

Dear Council,

I am unaware of what stage you are in regarding your local core strategy, in the event you are still in your public consultation period. May I invite you to add the enclosed document and letter to your processor? In the event you are at the examination stages please add the enclosed to the inspector. If you have adapted to your core strategy and have selected possible future development sites, would you please consider the enclosed proposals by created the land community modules? I have enclosed headlines regarding housing and care.

Headlines regarding housing,

- 4 million think they will never afford a house
- Nation of young mortgage slaves
- Home owners are risking their health toiling to pay mortgage
- 500,000 can't pay mortgage bills
- Cheap home crisis



Headlines regarding care;

- £200m bill for 'bed blocking' pensioners left stuck in hospital
- Scandal of the 1million British pensioners left to suffer alone
- Toll of bankrupt OAP's double as debt crisis grows
- Generation driven to drink to help fill the lonely days of retirement
- 10,000 OAPs trapped in unfit care homes
- Experts fears over care home funding.

Every 59 seconds in a UK working day, 1 person will be declared insolvent or bankrupt.

One third of marriages fail within 15 years. Financial stress seems to be the main reason for relationships falling apart. Often debt is through the mortgage or rent is beyond their means.

Regarding the Core Strategy document it would appear that the developers are in control of the council, this is out of order, this document is not viable or sound has it stands.

It has been proven that if you look out of your house window and look out into open space you suffer less mental depression than if you look out at another building, on new estates one can see why we have depression, family break down and vandalism, they lack open space, and community centres where there is live in accommodation for helpers, a youth club open every evening, libraries and coffee shop available all day with a cab on site.

Has a person we need two things one needs the company of others and your own space, on new estates one is overcrowded yet isolated. Isolation is the cause of depression and can be the start of dementia.

We should not have a situation where by developers tell the council where or what they want to build, we the people should decide where to build the houses that are needed and then invite the developers to build them.

Work / employment units could be under the same community trust plan, we have present day rents that cost more per year then the buildings cost to build in the first place.

Care homes under the community Land Trust scheme would mean family run plans would be more affordable, has they would not be company profit minded. This tied in to a new pension plan that would be payable to the family pension plan, payments beginning at the mid-forties period.

Please fine some answers on the following page, we would bring in the new localism act where the people would decide not the developer. Also enclosed are some design's one could use that would allow water to be collected from the roofs and recycled. Also have heat pumps from the earth that would supply the heat for the building that would have less outside walls saving energy all round.

While this is more suitable for a larger development it could be done on a smaller scale.

While appreciating these proposals may appear radical, we need people power to bring this about, one can see from the Mediterranean countries, North Africa and the Middle East that we need to change the way we live, unemployment is rife, so what should we do, ask everyone to buy two of everything? Building more houses is not the answer, look at Ireland, USA, Spain and even China where the property boom is about to go wrong.

Let the people do the job that needs to be done, have a life that is worth living, build a new way that is good for all. The proposals under the Land Community Trust module would solve many of our problems, May I invite your council to consider these proposals? You will find more details on the enclosed page.

RECEIVED
11 MAY 2012
BY
BUILDING CONTROL

At present there are nearly 3 million people unemployed in the U.K.
Debt, depression and crime are a few of the many problems in our broken
Society, yet governments and councils still insist on building market houses
As the only way forward. I believe that getting 'housing building' right by
Reforming our present system will help in resolving many of the problems __

In the early sixties we recall being told that with all the technology coming on
stream we would have more time to enjoy life. Instead we are a nation of
mortgage slaves. Working hours are long and many unemployed __

WEALDEN DIST
11 MAY 2012

The main problem is caused by the market. When the higher rate becomes
the going rate regarding property prices and rents, tax payers payout billions
more money into the investor's pockets. Rents are artificially propped up by
housing benefits. Businesses find that to rent or buy property is too high to
start up new ventures , "_
_Land Community Trust,

What we need is a new way to house ourselves and not renting or buying on
the open market Land should not go from £5,000 per acre to £500,000 per
acre because someone nods for planning permission. We should introduce a
new system whereby we Create a Land Community Trust Local people
would be invited to select an area that could be used for housing. The owner
would be offered £1,000 per acre annual rent for the land, or £50,000 for a 50
year lease paid in advance. If they refuse this then a compulsory purchase
order would apply __


Developers would be invited to build the houses.' Which would be built and
sold at cost. At today's prices that would be about £90,000.
. The purchaser would also pay a ground rent, and not be able to sell the property on, only
Back to the trust. They would pay the building cost at the time plus any
Improvements __
Houses should be built with a steel frame, using timber cladding and sheep's
Wool for insulation and sound proofing. Thus planting trees to help the
Environment. This would also save the fish breeding beds that are being
Destroyed from the dredging for shingle to build the houses __

Industrial work units and care homes would come under the same plan, allowing
Business people to earn a living from their effort, and not compete with the
Market and care would be affordable to all. __


This system would allow people to save for their pension, as housing would cost
Less once the house is paid for a family pension plan could come in to operation. Possibly
this would not start until you are about 45. Working time could be reduced. Homes should be
affordable on the income of one person and mothers would not be forced to work in order to
cover. The mortgage or rent. We then might find the next generation will be better
Citizen's __

With proper planning we could have a more flexible retirement age and would
Not have to work until we are aged 70, with the possibility of having a 4 day week for the
over 55's
And a 3 day week for the over 60's "__

The work load would be spread, bringing the high unemployment figure down
And we should all have a better lifestyle _



The top design could be used for older people's living facilities and include community garden etc. The lower design could have a green garden on each tier and could house a number of families under one roof. They would include a library, café, place of worship, crèche, sports facilities etc. Three fifths of the land would be open space.



WEALDEN DIS
11 MAY 2012
ACTION
BY
BUIL

Matter 12 /Laurence Keeley

Affordable Housing CAMPAIGN-FOR-CHANGE.

I would like to bring your attention to the new localism which received royal assent on the 15th November and the community right to build order. Which talks of creating land community trust, may i refer you to my website www.campign-for-change.co.uk which explains my vision for affordable housing. With the new housing proposals i presume we would not be building on grade 1 or 2 land. If we took an area of grade 3 land and did an agricultural sum a tenant farmer could be paying between £40-£60 acre rent. The land owner receiving this amount, In the event he was able to grow wheat he may produce 3 tons per acre, as of the 8th of December 2011 the price of wheat was ex farm was £145 per ton that would bring in £435 per acre. It would be extremely unlikely that they would have £200 profit, so why if someone nods for planning permission the land would reap half a million pounds, why should one get more for growing houses?

My suggestion is every village and town should look at their housing need, select some sites to build houses, there is no need for development boundaries, offer the land owner £1000 per acre. Create a land community trust that would build the houses with the opportunity for the people to purchase them at cost estimated to be between £80-£90,000 this would also include £100 per year ground rent, the restriction would be that the purchaser could only sell them back to the trust, they intern would pay the building cost. In the event the land owner would not accept this rental sum a compulsory order would be put on to the land.

In the case of Wealden council it has been stated that they will need 812 affordable houses per year. Whilst they have only establish they will be building 400 per year in total, with only 30% affordable, this will mean the large majority of those in need of a house would not be able to get one and with the remaining open market houses will bring more people into the area creating more problems for local services. Therefore there is no need to build any more houses for open market, anyone needing an opening market house can buy one anywhere in the district as there are loads for sale.

In areas such as Uckfield, Hailsham/Hellingly, Polegate/Willingdon /Stones Cross, Crowborough and Heathfield the new right to build policy should apply where the local people will decide on where and what should be built, the developers would then be invited to tender for the job

In order for council's to build these new houses they should be to allowed to keep their rents they receive and instead of giving capital to housing association's that is used to purchase houses from developers the capital could be used over and over again if given to the councils or Land Community trust as mentioned earlier.

Laurence Keeley, www.campaign-for-change.co.uk

What did Mr Moore do with this?

11 MAY 2012

PLANNING AND BUILDING CONTROL