For official use only (date received): 13/07/2023 12:20:28

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/C1435/W/23/3321978

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/C1435/W/23/3321978
Appeal By	GLEESON LAND AND JOHN ALISON LAND AND RESEARCH LTD
Site Address	Land at Downlands Farm Uckfield TN22 3PU Grid Ref Easting: 546619 Grid Ref Northing: 122026

SENDER DETAILS

Name	[redacted]
Address	[redacted]

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☑ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Development at this site will likely have a disproportionally high impact environmental impact on the local area, encroaching on historic woodlands and endangered species. The proposed development, as well as destroying habitat, will destroy or block routes used by wildlife, trapping it with development on all sides.
Beyond this immediate impact to the environment, it will also suffer indefinitely from increased traffic on routes which are already affected by ongoing development in local and surrounding areas.
Functionally, local resources and services are already stretched and this application seems to offer little to nothing in support of said services. Please note that this is within a water company supply area which has had hosepipe bans since June due to demand on local supplies.
Many local residents, my own family included, enjoy the peace and tranquillity of this local, natural area. Parts of Uckfield are approaching a point where road travel may become required to reach an appropriate natural space. This will have a negative impact on the mental health of Uckfield's residents.
Development at this site has been, to my understanding, rejected multiple times now. I would welcome the Secretary of State drawing a firm line under the developer’s approach of trying to see how much they can get away with, and arguing the decision each time, given the impact this has on the public purse.