For official use only (date received): 08/07/2023 18:25:38

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/C1435/W/23/3321978

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference	APP/C1435/W/23/3321978
Appeal By	GLEESON LAND AND JOHN ALISON LAND AND RESEARCH LTD
Site Address	Land at Downlands Farm Uckfield TN22 3PU Grid Ref Easting: 546619 Grid Ref Northing: 122026

SENDER DETAILS

Name	[redacted]
Address	[redacted]

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☑ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☑ Interested Party/Person Correspondence

☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

Following marriage in 1969, we bought our first house in Uckfield, we remember it as a pleasant, Sussex market town with adequate facilities and amenities, the high street was busy (no by-pass in those days) but the ambience was agreeable. The land around Downlands Farm was a welcome greenspace providing a corridor between Ashdown Forest, Uckfield and Maresfield.

Due to work, we moved after two years, over fifty years later we find ourselves back in Uckfield, much busier of course due to increased traffic and overdevelopment, struggling amenities - doctors, dentists, schools, parking, shops etc.

We live in Downland Copse, adjacent to Downlands Farm and were relieved to find this haven of biodiversity still on our doorstep (despite a scare in 2008 when an ambitious planning application was rightly rejected by the Planning Inspectorate.

The accute problems with water supply and sewage disposal in East Sussex are well documented and would be greatly exacerbated by such a huge development.

We ask that the previous decision regarding this SSSI be maintained.